Virulent Bacteriophage for Efficient Biocontrol of *Listeria monocytogenes* in Ready-To-Eat Foods
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Food-borne *Listeria monocytogenes* is a serious threat to human health, and new strategies to combat this opportunistic pathogen in foods are needed. Bacteriophages are natural enemies of bacteria and are suitable candidates for the environmentally friendly biocontrol of these pathogens. In a comprehensive set of experiments, we have evaluated the virulent, broad-host-range phages A511 and P100 for control of *L. monocytogenes* strains Scott A (serovar 4b) and WSLC 1001 (serovar 1/2a) in different ready-to-eat (RTE) foods known to frequently carry the pathogen. Food samples were spiked with bacteria (1 × 10³ CFU/g), phage added thereafter (3 × 10⁵ to 3 × 10⁸ PFU/g), and samples stored at 6°C for 6 days. In liquid foods, such as chocolate milk and mozzarella cheese brine, bacterial counts rapidly dropped below the level of direct detection. On solid foods (hot dogs, sliced turkey meat, smoked salmon, seafood, sliced cabbage, and lettuce leaves), phages could reduce bacterial counts by up to 5 log units. Variation of the experimental conditions (extended storage over 13 days or storage at 20°C) yielded similar results. In general, the application of more phage particles (3 × 10⁸ PFU/g) was more effective than lower doses. The added phages retained most of their infectivity during storage in foods of animal origin, whereas plant material caused inactivation by more than 1 log₁₀. In conclusion, our data demonstrate that virulent broad-host-range phages, such as A511 and P100, can be very effective for specific biocontrol of *L. monocytogenes* in contamination-sensitive RTE foods.

---

*Corresponding author. Mailing address: Institute of Food Science and Nutrition, ETH Zurich, Schmelzbergstrasse 7, LFV B20, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland. Phone: (41) 44 632 3335. Fax: (41) 44 632 1266. E-mail: martin.loessner@ethz.ch.

*Published ahead of print on 14 November 2008.

*L. monocytogenes* is an opportunistic human pathogen, widely distributed in the environment and transmitted to humans and animals via contaminated foods (50). The organism is well adapted to very different environmental conditions encountered in foods; it tolerates high levels of salt content (10 to 20%), can grow at pH values below 6, with low oxygen, and at temperatures down to 1°C (48). *L. monocytogenes* causes listeriosis, a severe disease which may result in septicemia, meningitis, encephalitis, or loss of the fetus during pregnancy (52). Although listeriosis is comparatively rare compared to other food-borne infections, the high mortality rate of 15 to 40% is of great concern (49, 52). Cases of sporadic and epidemic listeriosis are increasing (4, 6, 11), and it has been estimated that about 2,000 hospitalizations and 500 deaths occur annually in the United States as a result of the consumption of *Listeria* in foods (39). Although many foods can serve as vehicles for this pathogen, *Listeria* was often isolated from ready-to-eat (RTE) foods, such as milk and cheeses, cold-cut meats, smoked fish, seafood, and vegetables (45). RTE foods have been implicated in most of the major listeriosis outbreaks in the last 30 years (13, 18, 20, 21, 42, 45–47). Of particular concern is the fact that they are consumed directly, without a final bactericidal processing step. Since the preservation methods applicable to minimally processed RTE foods often seem to be insufficient to prevent *Listeria* contamination and growth, novel approaches are needed.

Bacteriophages represent natural enemies of bacteria; they are extremely specific regarding their bacterial hosts and generally do not cross taxonomic boundaries. With respect to the application of phages to foods, their inherent specificity results in the elimination of only the target organisms without compromising the viability of other, autochthonous bacteria in the habitat. This is a desired property of an antimicrobial agent for use in foods; it helps in maintaining product quality, especially in the case of fermented foods and other products produced with the aid of bacteria. Phages are widely distributed in the environment (12) and represent part of the natural microbiological flora of foods (27, 54, 55). Especially suitable for biocontrol purposes are virulent (strictly lytic) phages; they cannot integrate their genome into the bacterial chromosome to form lysogens and will always lyse and kill infected target cells.

The current standing of the use of phages against undesired bacteria in food systems has been summarized previously (22, 26, 44). Briefly, phages were tested in foods contaminated with strains of *Campylobacter* (19, 35), *Escherichia coli* (1, 41), *Enterobacter* (28), *Pseudomonas* (17, 23), *Bacillus* (24), *Salmonella* (31, 33, 40, 43, 53), and *Listeria* (10, 16, 32, 33, 34). However, a weak point of most approaches was the use of uncharacterized, sometimes temperate phages. With the use of a virulent, broad-host-range phage, the elimination of *Listeria* from artificially contaminated soft cheese was reported (10). In the same study, the authors have also presented data regarding the safety of the phage for human consumption, and phage P100 recently received GRAS status for application to foods (5).

The aim of this study was a comprehensive evaluation of virulent *Listeria* phages for biocontrol in a range of RTE foods (meat, fish, dairy, and plant). Toward this end, we have used the broad-host-range phage A511 (and, to a lesser extent, P100, which can infect about 95% of *L. monocytogenes* strains of the major serovar groups 1/2 and 4 (10, 36). These viruses are members of the *Myoviridae* (10, 56), and because of their
virulent nature, inevitably kill the host cell once an infection has been established (56). Important with respect to their application to foods is that they lack the genetic functions required for integration of their genome (10, 29) and cannot transduce bacterial DNA (25). The latter is due to the unusual structure of their genome, featuring long terminal repeats, which prevents accidental packaging of host DNA (29). We found it necessary to use a sufficiently high phage concentration to kill the bacteria by primary infection, without relying on self-amplification. A high density of phage particles also increases the probability that the nonmotile phage particles can actually reach the target cells since they do so only by diffusion. Both A511 and P100 were able to strongly decrease the number of viable Listeria cells, but their efficiency was dependent on several intrinsic and extrinsic parameters, such as phage concentration, food matrix, and storage conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria and phages. Listeria monocytogenes WSLC 1001 (serovar 1/2c) and Scott A (serovar 4b) and Listeria ivanovii WSLC 3009 (serovar 5) were grown in half-concentrated brain-heart infusion medium (BHI 1/2) (Biokar, Milan, Italy) at 30°C for 16 h. For the phage indicator strain L. ivanovii WSLC 3009 Cm', chloramphenicol (7.5 μg/ml) (Sigma, Buchs, Switzerland) was added to the medium. This strain has been genetically engineered for drug resistance by the integration of plasmid pFL2 (30). Phages A511 (29, 36, 38) and P100 (10) were propagated as previously described, using Listeria ivanovii WSLC 3009 as the host (10, 37). The final concentration of the purified phage suspensions was 3 x 10^10 PFU/ml, and they were stored at 4°C until use. The efficiency of plating of the phages on the two Listeria monocytogenes strains tested here was not different (results not shown).

Food samples. Eight different foods were selected to cover the spectrum of fresh, chilled, RTE foods frequently found to be contaminated with Listeria: hot dogs (sausages), cooked and sliced turkey breast meat (cold cuts), smoked salmon, mixed seafood (cooked and chilled cocktail of shrimp, mussels, and calamares), chocolate milk (pasteurized, 3.5% fat), mozzarella cheese brine (unsalted pasteurized whey from plastic bag containers containing fresh mozzarella), sliced turkey breast, smoked salmon, mixed seafood, cabbage, and lettuce) and streaked onto nonselective BHI plates. For the phage assay, 200-μl amounts of liquid cultures of the bacterial isolates were mixed with molten soft agar and poured onto agar plates. After solidifying and drying of the agar, 10 μl of phage preparations containing 10^9 PFU/ml, 10^6 PFU/ml, and 10^4 PFU/ml were dropped on the plates. After incubation at 30°C for 24 h, plates were analyzed, and plaques could be counted. If no plaques occurred at all, colonies were considered to be resistant. If plaques occurred with only 1 or 2 concentrations, colonies were considered to be less sensitive and the efficiency of plating could be calculated. Colonies were considered to be fully sensitive and not resistant when there was no difference in plaque number from the number for the wild-type Listeria strain.

Statistical analysis. Bacterial and phage counts were always determined by duplicate plating, and all experiments described here were independently performed from 2 to 5 times. Results are presented as mean values, and error bars in the figures indicate standard deviations of the means. Student’s t test (unpaired, two-tailed, and heteroscedastic) was used to determine the significance of cell count differences between controls and phage-treated samples, based on an alpha-level of 5% (P = 0.05).

RESULTS

Phage A511 is effective for reducing Listeria counts. Figure 1 shows the effect of phage A511 on the growth of two different L. monocytogenes strains in eight different foods. Depending on the food substrate, Listeria counts in the nontreated controls increased by 0.7 to 3.4 log units after 6 days of incubation at 6°C. Overall, the growth rates of the two Listeria strains were similar, although Scott A grew to higher numbers in cooked turkey breast, smoked salmon, seafood, and cabbage (Fig. 1). The application of phage A511 reduced the final counts of L. monocytogenes by 0.4 to 5.0 log units (45.7 to 100%). In general, the reductions achieved by phage treatment were very similar for both Listeria strains and on most foods, except for hot dogs and smoked salmon. Here, the differences in viable counts of both strains were more than 1 log at the end of the 6-day period. On hot dogs, phage reduced the number of viable L. monocytogenes WSLC 1001 cells below the detection limit, corresponding to more than 4.2 log units (P = 0.004). With strain Scott A, this reduction was 2.9 log units (P = 0.001). On smoked salmon, the viable cells of WSLC 1001 were initially reduced by 0.8 log units (P = 0.002), but they resumed growth after 2 days. There was no significant difference after 6 days (P = 0.07). With strain Scott A, however, a reduction of 2.2 log units was observed under the same conditions (P = 0.002).

In samples containing cabbage, iceberg lettuce, chocolate milk, and mozzarella cheese brine, phage A511 was very effective in suppressing or preventing the growth of both Listeria strains; the differences ranged from 2.3 log units (P = 0.0002)
to 5.0 log units ($P = 0.002$) compared to the counts in the untreated controls. A more-moderate effect of phage A511 was observed on sliced cooked turkey breast and on mixed seafood, with decreases of 1.5 log units ($P < 0.03$) and 2.5 log units ($P < 0.01$), respectively.

**Storage time and temperature had little effect on the efficacy of phage.** To examine the effects of phage treatment over an extended storage period reasonably applicable to fresh RTE foods, phage A511 was applied to hot dogs, chocolate milk, and mozzarella brine spiked with *L. monocytogenes* Scott A as described above. Foods were stored for up to 13 days at 6°C, and bacterial and phage counts were determined at regular intervals. Figure 2 shows that on hot dogs and in chocolate milk, viable counts in the controls exceeded $10^7$ CFU/g or ml after 13 days, whereas growth was slower in mozzarella cheese brine, where cell counts reached approximately $10^5$ CFU/ml. Phage addition to contaminated hot dogs resulted in a massive reduction of *L. monocytogenes* to less than 50 CFU/g. However, in one trial, a strong increase in *Listeria* counts was observed, which is reflected in the unusually large standard deviation of this data point. Compared to the level in the control, a reduction of 4.5 log units was achieved ($P = 0.06$). In chocolate milk and mozzarella cheese brine, no *Listeria* cells could be detected by direct plating, indicating very effective control through the phage, with 7.6-log-unit ($P = 0.004$) and 5.1-log ($P = 0.04$) differences, respectively.

In order to examine the efficacy of phage under conditions supporting faster growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*, we tested phage treatment on foods stored at room temperature. Hot dogs, chocolate milk, and cabbage were contaminated with strain WSLC 1001, treated with phage A511 as described above, and subsequently stored at 20°C. Under these conditions, the generation time of the bacteria was much shorter and they grew up to $10^8$ to $10^9$ CFU/g or ml in the untreated controls (Fig. 3), corresponding to approximately 4 to 5 log increases over growth at 6°C. If phage was present, the differ-
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**FIG. 1.** Effect of phage A511 on growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains WSLC 1001 and Scott A in eight different RTE foods. Samples were spiked with bacteria ($1 \times 10^3$ CFU/g or ml), and phage A511 was applied ($3 \times 10^8$ PFU/g or ml) to the test samples approximately 1 h later. Samples were stored for 6 days at 6°C and monitored for bacterial counts at time points indicated. Closed circles, WSLC 1001 controls without phage; closed triangles, WSLC 1001 with A511; open circles, Scott A controls without phage; open triangles, Scott A with phage A511; n.d., none detected.
ences from the controls at day 6 were similar to or higher than the results obtained at 6°C, i.e., 3.8 log units on hot dogs ($P = 0.001$), 4.7 log units on cabbage ($P = 0.01$), and 6.4 log units in chocolate milk ($P < 0.0001$). However, although the percent reductions were greater, the viable counts of $Listeria$ in the phage-treated samples were also higher at 20°C.

**Effectiveness of phage is dependent on density.** To assess the critical role of phage concentration in target cell infection and killing, we applied different doses of A511 ($3 \times 10^6$ to $3 \times 10^8$ PFU/g or ml) to $L. monocytogenes$ 1001-contaminated hot dogs, chocolate milk, and cabbage, followed by storage at 6°C for 6 days. Our results (Fig. 4) clearly demonstrate that a lower initial phage density resulted in less-significant growth suppression of $L. monocytogenes$. On hot dogs, the application of $3 \times 10^8$ PFU/g was sufficient to completely control outgrowth of the pathogen, whereas $3 \times 10^7$ PFU/g or $3 \times 10^6$ PFU/g reduced counts after 6 days by 2.7 and 2.2 logs, respectively ($P < 0.005$). In chocolate milk, no bacteria survived when phage was used at the highest concentration. The application of lower densities ($3 \times 10^7$ PFU/ml) also resulted in a massive reduction (4.4 log units; $P = 0.0002$), and the addition of $3 \times 10^6$ PFU/ml decreased the viable counts by 1.0 log unit ($P = 0.0005$). Similar results were obtained with contaminated cabbage, where $3 \times 10^8$ PFU/g also had the strongest effect (viable counts below 10 CFU/g) and lower concentrations were less effective ($P < 0.0005$).

**Phage is stable during storage.** To assess the stability and/or inactivation of phage in the food samples, we monitored infective phage (measured as PFU/g or PFU/ml) in the different foods during the course of all experiments. Figure 5 shows the phage counts for storage at 6°C over 6 days. On most foods, phage appeared very stable (maximum decrease of infectivity, 0.6 logs). In contrast, the incubation of viruses with plant products (cabbage and lettuce) resulted in a more-significant reduction of infective particles of 0.6 to 1.2 logs, up to 2.0 logs at 20°C (data not shown). However, although the phage particles were not inactivated, they apparently were immobilized relatively soon after addition to nonliquid foods and therefore became inactive due to limited diffusion (see below).

**Reisolated $Listeria$ clones remain phage sensitive.** During the course of this study, the phage sensitivity of a total of 60 $Listeria$ isolates recovered by plating of different phage-treated foods after 6 or 13 days did not change; in other words, we could not isolate any bacteria which were insensitive to A511 in plating assays. This finding indicates that the bacteria remaining in phage-treated foods did not acquire phage resistance but
rather escaped contact with phage particles during the time immediately following application, when the phage particles were able to diffuse and thus reach the target cells (see discussion below). Considering the *Listeria* counts monitored over the storage periods, this result also suggests that phage particles likely became immobilized in the food matrices relatively soon after addition to the foods, within 12 to 24 h.

**P100 and A511 show similar efficacies.** *Listeria* phage P100 (10) is closely related to A511 (15, 29) and recently received GRAS status for application in all foods (5). This prompted us to evaluate and compare the effectiveness of the two phages, and we tested P100 against *L. monocytogenes* 1001 contamination in hot dogs, smoked salmon, seafood, and cabbage under the same experimental conditions as for A511. Overall, we found that the efficacy of P100 against *Listeria* (*P < 0.001*) (Fig. 6) was very similar to that of A511, indicating that this group of SPO1-like *Listeria* phages (29) is well suited for practical application in foods.
**DISCUSSION**

Our study demonstrates the usefulness of virulent bacteriophages for biocontrol of *Listeria monocytogenes* with a representative panel of RTE foods. The effectiveness of phages against bacterial targets can vary significantly; the difference in *L. monocytogenes* counts between controls and phage-treated samples ranged from less than 1 to more than 5 orders of magnitude. This indicates that successful phage infection and subsequent killing of the host cells is strongly dependent on the environmental conditions, i.e., the type of food and its specific matrix. The proportion of bacterial cells that can be infected depends on several parameters, and the two that are arguably the most important will be discussed here. First, the binding of phages to their ligands on the bacterial surfaces is influenced by intrinsic factors, such as ionic strength, pH, and substances which may interfere with this process (27). These parameters are largely determined by the food itself and may change during the production, ripening, or storage of the items. Since it is difficult (if not impossible) to predict the behavior of phages in a complex food matrix, empirical data are required.

Second, our results show that the concentration of phage at the time of application is crucial for efficacy, i.e., applying more phage generally resulted in greater inactivation. This is in accordance with the results of other studies showing that higher phage numbers yielded better results (10, 34). More specifically, our data suggest that for optimum efficacy, the phage concentration should not be less than 10^6 PFU/g or cm^-2 at the time of application. Although this seems to be relatively high, it is both technically and economically possible. However, the application must be specifically optimized for individual food systems. The concentration of phage must be high enough to ensure the contact of the passively diffusing virus particles with their host cells, within a given time and considering spatial limitations. In liquid foods (milk and cheese brine), this does not appear to be a problem, because suspended phage particles can diffuse almost freely. The situation is different on solid foods with an even surface (hot dogs, salad leaves, etc.), where the total surface area and its ability to absorb liquid from the phage suspension are the decisive parameters. The most difficult foods to treat with phage are those with an uneven and large surface area (fish, meat, and seafood), which physically limits the distribution of phage particles in order to reach all bacterial targets. Moreover, target bacteria may be embedded within the rather complex food matrices, thereby shielding them from diffusing phage particles. Such an effect may be reflected in the results of phage treatment of precontaminated smoked salmon, seafood, and turkey meat. Considering that phage was not inactivated by these foods (Fig. 6), we conclude that limited diffusion and thus limited contact of bacteria and phage particles was responsible for the lower efficacy. This hurdle may be overcome by modifying phage application, e.g., by the use of more phage, larger liquid volumes, and/or the application of phage before bacterial contamination occurs. It should also be noted that it is necessary to use a sufficiently high phage concentration from the start, without relying on self-amplification. The burst size of A511 is approximately 40 to 50 new particles released by an infected cell (38). However, because of the generally low number of target cells present in foods, this effect cannot significantly contribute to an increase in overall phage concentration.

Testing different foods under otherwise identical conditions showed that the amount of phage required for treatment largely depends on the food matrix. Thus, protocols for the application of phage in any food production setting and environment must be individually optimized not only with respect to the phages and target organisms but also by considering specifications of the food matrix.

We found that the effect of phage was not neutralized by prolonged storage periods. A shift of the incubation temperature toward more-favorable growth conditions (20°C represents temperature abuse for most RTE foods) had little effect on the final log difference in viable counts, although the absolute *Listeria* CFU/g numbers were higher at the elevated temperature both in the controls and in the phage samples. This finding is in agreement with reports on other phage-host systems, where variation of storage temperature had no effect on the potential of *Pseudomonas* phages to extend shelf life of raw beef (17) and the reduction of *Salmonella* on phage-treated honeydew melons (33).

The two strains of *L. monocytogenes* tested revealed no difference in their reduction by A511 treatment (P > 0.05), with the exception of smoked salmon, where strain Scott A was killed more effectively than WSLC 1001 (P < 0.05). In both sets of experiments, all other parameters were kept constant (phage concentration, food sample, storage conditions, etc.), and experiments were repeated under identical conditions. Thus, the observed differences in the fish samples appear to be strain dependent.

The efficacies of the two phages tested (A511 and P100) were also very similar. This was expected, as the two phages are both members of the *Myoviridae* family, share extensive nucleotide sequence homologies (10, 15, 38), and feature a broad (but still slightly different) host range within the genus *Listeria* (56). This particular type of SPO1-like phage (29) appears to be very suitable for the application described here.

Only limited data were available concerning the stability of phages on or in foods. Some studies report an increase of phage concentrations of 1 to 2 log units (17, 23, 40), whereas in other cases (31, 32) rapid inactivation of phages applied to fruit surfaces was reported. We found the phage particles to be quite stable in foods of animal origin. On vegetable foods (cabbage and salad), however, the concentration of infective particles decreased by approximately 1 log unit within 2 to 3 days, often accompanied by an increased *Listeria* cell count. Since the pH seemed not to be in a critical range, the inactivation of phage particles may be due to secondary plant compounds and substances known to inactivate viruses and bacteriophages (2, 14, 51), such as organic acids and tannins.

We did not find any bacteria isolated from phage-treated foods to be resistant against the phages used. At least under the conditions used here, insensitivity against phage A511 or P100 appears to be a rare event, most likely because of the relatively low numbers of bacterial cells encountered by the phage particles. Other researchers also failed to detect resistance against phages used to control food-borne pathogens, such as *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Enteritidis on fresh-cut fruit during a 7-day period (31), *Listeria monocytogenes* on cheese over 3 weeks (10), and *Campylobacter jejuni* on chicken.
skin after 10 days (9). However, phage-resistant Brochothrix thermosphacta emerged on pork tissue 8 days after phage treatment; 20% to 65% of the isolates revealed resistance to the phages used (24). There is no doubt that the success of using phages against bacteria will depend on the emergence or persistence of resistance against the viruses, similar to the emergence of antibiotic resistance. In order to minimize the probability that resistance will diminish the efficacy of phage treatment, several measures should be considered and adhered to: (i) the use of virulent phages with a broad host range; (ii) the application of phages with different host ranges in mixtures/cocktails, but preferably in rotating application schemes; (iii) the treatment of products immediately prior to packaging and shipment in order to prevent the reentry and establishment of a phage-resistant flora in a production environment; and (iv) strict avoidance of recycling inoculation loops (e.g., old-young smearing procedure in soft cheese production). Regarding phage resistance, it has also been shown that phage-resistant phenotypes can revert when selective forces are removed, i.e., in the absence of phage (41). However, this phenomenon is influenced by the fitness cost of phage resistance and will also be phage host dependent.

Different legal requirements and regulations exist in different countries and for different foods. With respect to Listeria in RTE foods, the United States has adopted a zero tolerance policy. In the European Union and Switzerland, up to 100 CFU/g are permitted in RTE foods which do not support growth of the pathogen to unacceptable levels until the end of the shelf life (3). Interestingly, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has also recently published a proposal to relax the current criteria for L. monocytogenes in RTE foods not able to support growth of this pathogen, to the same level of 100 CFU/g (http://www.fda.gov/ora/compliance_ref/cpalpha/draft_cpg55-320.html).

In conclusion, we believe that the application of virulent bacteriophages for control of Listeria monocytogenes in RTE foods represents a specific, effective, and environmentally friendly path toward the production and supply of safer food. Phages may also be helpful in decontaminating food-processing equipment where L. monocytogenes may be present as a part of the individual and specific “house flora.” At this point, we are just beginning to exploit the potential of phages for combating bacterial contaminations, and the application of naturally occurring broad-host-range phages, such as A511 and P100, appears to be optimally suited for harnessing the unique properties of these natural enemies of bacteria.
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